

Chapter 21:

Interview with
Dr. Brian S. Hooker, Ph.D



Ty: What I'm fascinated about, Brian, is your experience initially before all of these CDC whistle blower stuff.

Dr. Hooker: Right.

Ty: What did you do before? And then I want to talk about the William Thompson story.

Dr. Hooker: That sounds great. I actually started investigating the CDC in 2001. My own son, Steven, was injured by the vaccines that he received at 15 months. He received 3 separate vaccinations all while having an active ear infection and that really sent him over the edge. He was fully vaccinated right on schedule.

I grew up in a public health family. My mother was a public health nurse for a large county in Southern, California and she was in charge of their vaccination project the whole vaccinations that were distributed throughout the entire counties. So I was bred into this, "Vaccines are safe and effective mantra," and I was excited to get—I remember going to my son's well-baby appointments thinking, "Oh, this is really cool. He's not going to get these infectious diseases. Everything's going to be fine."

But in hindsight, I think that he had some damage prior to his 15-month vaccines because he was exposed to so much mercury. He was vaccinated in 1998 and 1999 and he got—whenever the vaccine could contain Thimerosal, it did—so he got really the full wallop by the time he was 15 months of age and then he really started to regress quite severely. He received an Autism diagnosis, early for then, at 18 months of age. We had suspected that there was something going on with the vaccines, especially the 15-month vaccines with the active ear infection which is contraindicated. That was really the last straw and we knew that there was an issue with vaccinations with him.

So I was following very closely what the CDC was doing in terms of research. They were in the midst of publishing what was called the Verstraeten study. I originally thought, they've had two years to do the study they really started the preliminary work in 1999, it'll be published in 2001 and then we can get some clear answers. But anybody who's followed the story knows that the Verstraeten study actually had five different iterations and in each time they were watering down the associations between vaccines and autism, vaccines and speech delay, vaccines and language delay. All of these things that originally they found statistically significant associations then they started limiting the number of children in the cohort, limiting the age of the children of the cohort, taking out specific clinics where they saw specific effects.

When I saw all these dubious practices then I started to contact the CDC as a scientist. My background is science. I have a PhD in Biochemical Engineering and I've done a lot of statistics in my life and so I knew that the things that were coming out of CDC just did not make sense. So, from 2001 until about 2004, I was actually in touch with CDC scientists asking them questions, giving them my opinion on their studies and one of the scientists that I talked with on a regular basis was William Thompson.

We'd known each other even back then starting in 2001. But over time, my questions became much more pointed. I knew that the CDC was committing fraud and I was vocal with CDC scientists that, really, you're not fooling anybody. It's very, very clear to see that the CDC does not want to find an association and they were exclusively looking at Thimerosal in vaccines and it was really clear that they were going to do whatever they could to absolve Thimerosal from the autism epidemic.

I continued into till 2004 but the CDC worked in the background to try to cut off my contact with them. They were sick of the phone calls, they were sick of the emails that they were getting from me. And I was very dogged and persistent but in 2004 I got a cease and desist email from a CDC attorney who said, “Oh, your son is participating in the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program or vaccine court and in a sense you’re suing the CDC so we cannot talk to you any longer. Your only recourse is the Freedom of Information Act.”

Of course, I showed it to my attorney. He laughed. He said, “Look, you have a legal right of contacting anybody in the federal government you want to contact.” CDC then came back and said, “Yeah, that’s true but we’re just not answer any of your calls or your emails.” So, at that point I started submitting FOIA requests and since 2004 I’ve submitted over, now, probably 120 different separate FOIA requests.

Ty: For the CDC to release documents?

Dr. Hooker: For the CDC to release documents. On average, it takes the CDC once you submit a request like that, on average it takes 3 to 5 years to get information. It used to be a shorter timeline when I first started but now I think they just intentionally draw things out because they do not want to release this information. Of course, the information that poured out showed a commitment to absolve vaccines at all costs, showed clearly that they were in the process of these analyses and re-analyses in using these methods that were scientific malfeasance. There’s no way to characterize them except that they were out-and-out fraud in order to cheat, to err on the side that vaccines were always safe and effective.

Ty: Well, you mentioned the Verstraeten Study.

Dr. Hooker: That’s correct.

Ty: Now, was that an independent study outside the CDC or was that funded by the CDC?

Dr. Hooker: That was funded by the CDC. It was done within the CDC. Dr. Verstraeten was a CDC scientist and interestingly, he left the CDC in 2001 before the study was completed and he spent two years at GlaxoSmithKline, actually, as co-author and collaborator on the study. GlaxoSmithKline was making Thimerosal-containing vaccines. I believe they still have a Thimerosal-containing flu shot.

So there was a distinct conflict of interest. He should not have been lead author of that particular paper because Glaxo had a vested interest in that study being a negative study and showing that there was no harm from Thimerosal.

Ty: Wow. You mentioned statistics. I’m familiar with statistics being a CPA and the term that we’re all familiar with is “cooking the books.” Sounds like that they were cooking the books to make the studies show what they wanted them to. The same way that an accountant can cook the books and make the bottom line what the company wants it to.

Dr. Hooker: Absolutely. They were cooking the books and when they saw a particular effect that they didn’t want, then they would get a bunch of scientist in the room and they would look at how they could exclude cases of autism, how they could exclude cases of other disorders that they were studying until they could actually dilute down that affect to the point where it was no longer statistically significant.

One of the big cheats that the CDC does and did back then was limit the size of the overall statistical sampling, what they call the cohort. As the cohort gets smaller, then of course

you lose to statistical power so you can't prove any association. You can't prove anything.

It always goes back to what we call the "null hypothesis," meaning no harm done by Thimerosal-containing vaccines. For me this is very personal. There are documents that showed that Merck, who has ties into the CDC, knew as early as 1991 that there was a problem with the expanding vaccination schedule.

Vaccination schedule started to expand in early 1990s and the amount of Thimerosal that these kids were getting. Merck knew about that. They could have done something about that and they could have studied it aggressively and truthfully back in 1991 way before my son was ever exposed to any of those vaccines. So it really does hit home.

Ty: Oh, it sounds like it, as a parent.

Dr. Hooker: Yes.

Ty: How does that make you feel about what Merck did in light of the fact that your son suffered because of their withholding knowledge potentially?

Dr. Hooker: I don't know why, I look at this movement and I don't know why we haven't taken to the streets with torches. This is this is manslaughter. The carnage that has been laid on our society as a whole not just because of Thimerosal, not just because of the MMR vaccine but because we're all part of this grand medical experiment that's called the vaccination schedule that is understudied, that is only studied by the CDC in order to quell the masses. CDC is not there to tell the truth. They are a risk management organization and they are there to basically produce studies that will sway the opinion towards what CDC wants the public to do and that is to uptake all of the vaccines that they were recommending on schedule.

Ty: They're going about this completely backwards. They have a pre-determined conclusion. They want the result that they want and then they basically fabricate the study. They selected, they cherry pick to get that answer as opposed to the scientific method would be, "Let's test this. Have a proper sample size and it is what it is." But the CDC seems to be going backwards with that.

Dr. Hooker: That is correct.

Ty: They don't want the true results, they want their results. So in light of that fact, this is just a personal opinion, why are they doing this with vaccines? Because they could at least—even if you're in favor of vaccines, you could at least produce vaccines that weren't so toxic.

Dr. Hooker: There is no inertia and there is no impetus for the CDC or the vaccine manufacturers to make safe vaccines. They know that vaccines are block-buster business. Many employees at the CDC end up in industry. Case in point Dr. Julie Gerberding, who was the director of the CDC from 2001 until 2008, took a very lucrative position as the head of the vaccine division in Merck in 2009. She was given stock options in the millions for that particular position so she overnight became a millionaire. There have been other employees that have gone on to lucrative positions. There's actually a revolving door between the CDC and the vaccine industry.

Dr. Thompson himself came from Merck. He worked at Merck before he worked at the CDC. Dr. Frank DiStefano who is the current head of the immunization safety office at the CDC actually left the CDC, went into industry and then came back to the CDC. So you have this incestuous relationship between the pharmaceutical industry and the CDC.

Really, the driver is money. It's just like everything else. The driver is the almighty dollar. When you get a vaccination on the schedule, when an individual which could be a CDC employee gets a vaccination on the schedule, that opens up a market for that particular vaccine that's in the billions of dollars.

Ty: So the fox is guarding the henhouse.

Dr. Hooker: The fox is guarding the henhouse. There is no independence. There is no drive for looking specifically at vaccine safety. Scientists that have come forward like Dr. Thompson and said, "This is wrong," then are handled. When Dr. Thompson basically said that the CDC should not be doing vaccine safety, when he said that to his superiors he was put on administrative leave of absence and he had a letter of discipline put in his file.

Ty: What did he say? Again, what was ...?

Dr. Hooker: He basically said that the CDC was a conflicted agency. That the CDC spends \$4B worth of vaccines a year and then distributes those vaccines to the states primarily for children's health programs and in order for them to get reimbursed, then vaccine uptake has to get high.

Ty: I got you. So Dr. Thompson said that CDC has a conflict of interests. You shouldn't be involved with determining whether something is safe and light of fact that you're making all the money on it.

Dr. Hooker: That is correct. And the one example that he gave in the government of an independent board that's looking at safety was the National Transportation Safety Board. That is wholly independent from the Federal Aviation Administration. It's a completely separate entity. They are two separate arms. They're not tied within the executive branch of the government.

There is no reason why the CDC couldn't do the same thing, take vaccine safety completely out of Centers of Disease Control, take vaccine safety completely out of the Department of Health and Human Services. Have it be an independent body that was not tied in any way to increasing vaccine uptake in the United States.

Ty: But they can't do that because it's too costly, right? Because the vaccine institute would lose revenues if an independent study were actually conducted.

Dr. Hooker: That is absolutely correct. They will lose money if a study is produced that actually shows what the true safety and efficacy of vaccines are. Because the truth is that they are not as safe as CDC purports and they're not nearly as safe as the CDC purports and they're not as efficacious. We're seeing disease outbreaks in vaccinated communities.

The mumps portion of the MMR vaccine is woefully ineffective so we have the Harvard mumps outbreak which is exclusively in vaccinated individuals. So if people know this then they'll say, "Why do I need to take a vaccine? Why do I need to take the risk with my wonderful child or with myself or with my aging parents who the nursing home is recommending a flu shot when they're not effective? And they put the individual at risk of all these adverse events."

Ty: Is it safe to say that it's possible that the vaccine like the MMR is actually creating mumps?

Dr. Hooker: It is safe to say that what it's doing is it's creating a scenario where mumps outbreaks are going to occur in older populations where mumps is going to have more of a negative effect. These childhood diseases, when you look at chicken pox, when you look at mumps,

when you look at rubella, when you look at rubeola, these are diseases that are relatively benign in childhood. They aren't associated with all of these adverse effects and now we treat mumps like it's the boogeyman.

Ty: I had them. I didn't have rubella but I got chicken pox, mumps, measles.

Dr. Hooker: I had chicken pox and rubella. I had rubella when I was nine months old. These diseases, unfortunately, when you take a vaccine that has waning effectiveness, then you might have immunity towards that particular disease for a limited period of time, maybe three or four years, but then once that vaccine wears off then you have a population that is older, that is now susceptible to getting that disease. These childhood diseases when you get them as an adult or when you get them as an adolescent, they're much rougher. They're much more difficult. Mumps can cause sterility in males when it's contracted in older individuals. So what we're doing as a society is we're not preventing infectious disease. Nature makes a way.

Anybody that takes basic biology courses knows that if you provide some type of natural selection or some type of selection pressure then the viruses, the bacteria, the other pathogens that we're trying to protect against using vaccines, those are all going to mutate. They're going to mutate a way to the place where the vaccine is essentially ineffective.

Ty: You mentioned Julie Gerberding. She was head of CDC for several years and in 2004. Can you talk about what happened or what the CDC began to learn at that time under her watch and it evolved into the story with Dr. Thompson?

Dr. Hooker: In 2001, the CDC embarked upon what's called the DiStefano study. That was a study that they were going to use to absolve the MMR vaccine from the autism epidemic. They basically took a small study sample in metropolitan Atlanta. It had a higher proportion of African-American individuals because that's the demographic in metropolitan Atlanta. What they found when they originally ran the study was that African-Americans that got the MMR on time were about 2.5 times more likely to get an autism diagnosis versus African-Americans that receive the MMR after three years of age, so they delayed the MMR. They tried to bury this effect using statistics. They could not make it go away so the only recourse that they had was then in 2002 they brought in large trash cans and they just threw out all the information that showed that there was any type of association between MMR and autism especially in African-American populations.

They had a very vulnerable population, an underserved minority population and the CDC basically turn their back. Thompson was one of the co-authors on this study and he secretly kept all of his documents. When he was ordered to throw them all away by his superiors, he kept electronic records on his computer and said ... Yes?

Ty: Sorry to cut you off. With just that statement, they were ordered by their superiors at the CDC to throw away, to get rid of records that showed a result that they didn't want. They had documents that prove that the African-American male babies were at risk and they got rid of them.

Dr. Hooker: They got rid of that evidence. That's data fraud.

Ty: That's a crime.

Dr. Hooker: In the first degree. It's a crime at many levels. Not only looking at the issue of the Federal Records Act in the federal government, it was enacted in 1950 and it has been amended but anything that looks, smells, taste like a federal record which undoubtedly these doc-

uments were, should have been retained on file and then ultimately should end up in the National Archives. They weren't. They were handled completely incorrectly. On top of that, the results themselves were so alarming and the CDC knew about this effect as early as 2001. November 7th, 2001 was when Thompson originally presented those results to the rest of the research team.

Ty: Wow. I thought it's 2004. It's 2001. It's even worse. Three more years that they knew about it.

Dr. Hooker: They knew about. They massaged the data. They threw everything out but Thompson in 2004 was conscience-stricken. He was also set to do a presentation at The Institute of Medicine. Institute of Medicine is the "independent body" that periodically reviews vaccine safety for the CDC. He was set to present these results at The Institute of Medicine and he was conscience-stricken. He wanted to tell the truth at that Institute of Medicine meeting that there was a tie, a definite tie between the MMR vaccine and autism specifically in African-American males.

He tried to warn, he went and stepped over several levels of management and he tried to warn Julie Gerberding directly. He sent her a long email saying that there were problematic results that needed to be discussed, that would not bear favorably on the CDC and on the current vaccination schedule.

Ty: What was her response?

Dr. Hooker: Her response, she never reached out to Thompson directly but he was very soon after that put on administrative leave of absence. He received a letter of discipline in his file for insubordination because he didn't go to his direct supervisor who was the one that ordered him to throw away those documents. Then he was replaced. He was not able to speak at The Institute of Medicine meeting and instead his direct supervisor, Frank DiStefano, who was responsible for the data destruction, presented something that was totally fraudulent.

So he presented the fraudulently results and the rest is history. The Institute of Medicine had their meeting in February of 2004. Thompson went on administrative leave of absence in February of 2004. Then when the Institute of Medicine report came out later in that May, it said that there was no relationship between the MMR vaccine and the autism epidemic and there was no relationship between Thimerosal-containing vaccines and the autism epidemic in the United States.

His data alone could have made a huge difference. It could have turned the tide and by my estimates, if you look at the number of African American males that have been born in the United States since 2001, since they've known, there have been over 100,000 African-American males in the United States that have been diagnosed with autism since that point in time. You have to wonder if they would have changed the vaccine schedule how many individuals would have been spared that lifetime of having that diagnosis, of having those issues, of having the communication issues of needing 24-hour care? How many families were destroyed because the CDC made the decision to bury that information?

Ty: That is heartbreaking on one hand but on the other it enrages made to hear that. We raised our kids to tell the truth. So, "Hey, did you eat that cookie?" "No, Mommy, I didn't."

If they ate it, they're going to get disciplined because they just lied. But it didn't really hurt anybody. CDC is lying about stuff that is resulting in either autism, and sometimes even death, from vaccines to hundreds of thousands of people - children, babies, helpless babies. They're lying about it, they know they are lying. Where is the conscience of these people?

Dr. Hooker: I have absolutely no idea. I look at what type of, I'm not an attorney, but I look at what type of legal actions can be taken specifically against the CDC because when you're a parent and you're raising a child who was vaccine-injured and you know that that could have been prevented, that's like voluntary manslaughter. That's like somebody coming up and basically beating the crap out of your kid and then leaving them for dead and then saying, "Okay, now you raise him. Okay. We've done our job here."

Ty: And then to add insult to injury, if you dare to tell them that your kid's having some physical issues because they got the crap beat out of them, they'll tell you that having the crap beat out of them didn't have anything to do with their injuries.

Dr. Hooker: Right, exactly. But when you look at these kids, even my son's medical history, the run-up that we've done on him, he's had exhaustive testing done from a standpoint of his amino metabolic state, of his genetic state, it's like Hiroshima. It's like a nuclear explosion happened in his body and you can trace it back basically to when he got vaccinated.

Ty: Wow. I'm so sorry to hear that but you've made good come from something that happened that was bad, right? With all of your work and the fact that we now know about— Talk about how do we know about what happened with the CDC back in the early 2000s. It's because of you were on a call with Dr. Thompson. Share with us very quickly if you can.

Dr. Hooker: That's right. Well, it's kind of interesting. After I was out of contact with the CDC in 2004 and my only recourse was the Freedom of Information Act nothing prevented me from emailing these characters and pointing out the flaws in their study. So every now and then, knowing full well I wouldn't get a response, I would email somebody. I would email Frank DiStefano. I would email Robert Chen. I would email Bill Thompson. I've emailed David Shea. All this time I trolled for whistleblowers.

Once a year, twice a year, I would send out an email saying, "Hey, if you ever want to talk, if you ever want to come clean about this, here's my cell phone. Here's my private email. We can talk in private." Then something happened in 2013 that really upset me and my family. In 2013, Representative Bill Posey did a congressional request and got about 10,000 pages of CDC documents. I went through these 10,000 pages at his bequest and I found where CDC employees, including Bill Thompson, including CDC attorneys were talking specifically about my son's case in vaccine court.

I was mad. I was like this is my personal, private business. You have no right to talk about my son standing in Vaccine court and about his particular medical condition and how that bears on how you can get me to stop talking to the CDC. All these documents came up and they finally came up in 2013 so I was mad. I sent some scathing emails directly to Dr. Thompson and said, "Look you had no right. These are children. This is my child and you're treating him like he's some type of statistic that gets you in the way of mind control for the rest of the United States."

Something clicked and within maybe three months of receiving these emails, I get a call. It's Dr. Thompson and he's calling on his private cell phone to my private cell phone. I didn't pick up because I was chicken. One thing that I've only disclosed a few times is that I have received harassing calls from the CDC so when I saw that 404 area code that was Atlanta I thought, "Here comes again. Here's another harassing call." So I didn't pick up. I just let it ring through. He didn't leave a voicemail but my curiosity got the best of me and I called back and I found out that it was Dr. Thompson's private cell phone. Then we exchanged emails, we talked and finally got together early November of 2013.

The things that he started sharing, the tone of his conversation with me was completely different. He was completely apologetic. He was concerned for the well-being of my son, concerned for the well-being of my family. This was a different person than the person that I talked to back in the early 2000s who was then just basically trying to handle me and get me off the phone.

When he started to reveal this information to me, he said I'm going to start emailing you documents that show not only how you can get data from the CDC so you can independently do an analysis for all of these different studies that he was saying were faulty and it's in some cases out-and-out fraudulent. He helped me get the data. When I saw, first of all the African-American effect on the MMR vaccine, it took me the process of me requesting data from the CDC, getting the data from the CDC, and then getting back to Dr. Thompson, took me only about a month.

In December of 2013, I knew about the African-American effect. He said, "Oh, you found it." And then the story started to unfold. He started to tell me that he saw the effect as early as November of 2001. He went to his superiors, his superiors directly told him that he had to find a way to bury that effect so it would not be reported in that particular paper. So he went through all that fraud. He also went through fraud that CDC committed regarding Thimerosal-containing vaccines not only with autism but with other disorders like tics, speech delay, language delay, IQ, and where CDC specifically would either out and out hide the effect by not reporting it or they would minimize the effect and dismiss it as being not statistically valid.

Ty: So, you got it from the horse's mouth. Apparently, he had a change of heart and I think it may have been because instead of coming to him as a scientist, you came as a mad dad. You were upset, righteously indignant about what had happened to your son and it was those emails that caused him to respond. I think that may have been what had him to have a change of heart.

Dr. Hooker: The timing was interesting to say the least. The fact that he tried to warn people as early as 2004 has always stuck with me. We talked on the phone many times. We would have long conversations. I would hole up in the guest bedroom of my house and we would talk on the phone for an hour, hour and a half.

He would just reveal these things to me but the sense that I got very early was he was really an island. That he didn't have people that he could talk to in the CDC about that. In the documents that he shared showed that when he did go out on that limb, when he did try to warn people in the CDC, they always turned it back on him. In fact, one of his supervisors when he continued to persist like, "You need to tell the truth, you need to tell the truth," one of his supervisor's comments back to him was, "No, you need to see a psychiatrist." So it wasn't like, "CDC is flawed. CDC is lying." It's not, "No, you're the crazy person."

Ty: So this was probably cathartic to him.

Dr. Hooker: Absolutely.

Ty: To be able to help you obtain these documents, maybe a weight lifted off of his chest.

Dr. Hooker: Yeah. He said that when my paper was published, I did a reanalysis and I basically used the CDC's methods in my paper, did a reanalysis and showed the data that was thrown in the trash can. He said that that was the most relieved that he had felt in 15 years. It was truly a big catharsis for him. He felt like he was getting the truth out. Then, rightfully, he knew

there would come a point in time where I couldn't just keep it under wraps, that there would be a time where we would need to blow the story open and out him as a whistleblower.

I helped him. I talked him through getting a whistleblower attorney. I talked him through getting directly in touch with Representative Bill Posey's office and we got all of our ducks in a row. Then in August of 2014 then we knew it was time, okay we're going to go ahead and get this into the media. He recognized that. He recognized that. His comment to me was, "You're going through a lifetime of pain because of your son's disability, because of your son's vaccine injury. What's a few months of discomfort for me because you're going to out me?" He was actually quite noble about the whole ordeal.

Ty: Wow. I had no idea so that he was. It is noble of him to recognize the pain that you've undergone and so many other. Hundreds of thousands, millions of parents potentially with autistic children that could be a result of the fact that they knew and just didn't say anything.

Dr. Hooker: Right. We could prevent autism. The best autism treatment is prevention. That is the best way to make autism go away is find out what's causing it and prevent it from happening to any other child. If we know, it is so clear whenever you hear the talking heads in the media like Sanjay Gupta or Anderson Cooper or Mark Seagull of Fox News, Dr. Manny Alvarez of Fox News. The talking heads can come out and they say, "We don't really know what causes autism but we know that it's not the vaccines," a statement of that is so non-scientific, that is so biased. "We don't know what causes it but we know vaccines don't cause it," completely scientifically unsupported.

Ty: Yeah, that's insane.

Dr. Hooker: Anybody with a brain cell in their head can see right through that, that they're trying to hide something, they're trying to cover something up. They're trying to handle society with this bit of propaganda that they're spewing out on the airwaves.

Ty: This documentary is going to be called "The Truth about Vaccines." Give me, in a few sentences, what is the truth about vaccines?

Dr. Hooker: The truth about vaccines is that vaccines are a grand experiment. To my knowledge and my own digging, I feel like vaccinations from the very, very beginning had a checkered history. You look at the work of Jenner with the cowpox vaccine, you look at the work of Salk and Sabin with the polio vaccine and you see this carnage that occurred throughout history. The Cutter Incident, the contamination of the of the polio vaccine with the SV40 virus, and now retroviruses based on the work of Judy Mikovits. There are so many problems that you just cannot look at that as a viable method in order to prevent infectious disease.

If you look at the statistics, if you look at the true data what the medical community ascribes to vaccination as being the force behind removing infectious diseases in United States is really proper sanitation techniques, proper sanitation techniques. The fact that were not exposed on a daily basis to rotting fecal material is huge in terms of preventing infectious disease but yet the medical community wrongly ascribes that to vaccination practices. We under ascertain, we shun, if you will, the people in society that get vaccine injuries and claim that they have vaccine injuries.

It's the unwanted harvest. It's the people that we do not want to, we want to sweep under the rug. To me, participating in vaccination, participating in this ever expanding schedule of vaccines that the pharmaceutical industry wants to get on the schedule, get into infants, get into young children, it's just something that in good conscience I can't participate in.

Period. As a scientist, I just can't participate in that. I tread lightly because I'm not a medical doctor. I don't have medical experience, I can't make personal medical decisions for other people but for me and my family, we don't vaccinate.

Ty: And we don't either. We did before we knew and, to be honest, my eldest daughter showed signs of aggression after her DPT or maybe it was the MMR. I can't remember which one. Never diagnosed with autism. She's a bright young lady but we saw definite regression patterns immediately after the vaccine. We thought she was autistic.

Dr. Hooker: And that's uncharacteristic for childhood. You don't have children who just all of the sudden go through period of regression where they let lose acquired skills.

Ty: Yeah, she was walking and talking and then after the vaccine, she wouldn't walk and talk anymore. That's not normal.

Dr. Hooker: No.

Ty: She does now. She's beautiful 16-year-old, almost 16-year-old and she's got really brilliant. She's memorized the Declaration of Independence. She's really bright but we saw serious regression at first. The thing with vaccines is they kind of like the Holy Grail of what Robert Scott Bell calls the Church of Pharmaceutical Mysticism.

You can't even question whether vaccines are safe and effective or you'll be called names, you'll be smeared. You will be just lambasted. But isn't that the true meaning of what science should be? It's questioning everything, testing everything to make sure that you're onto the truth? Whereas with the vaccines, you can't even question or you're an anti-vaxxer or a nut job.

Dr. Hooker: That is correct. Like you, probably not as long as you, but I have been called every name in the book from wacko, tin foil hat wearing anti-vaxxer, to baby killer to child abuser to everything that could possibly be thrown at me personally but there has to be a break in this. There has to be a break in this entire mad assembly line that we're on where children are effectively being dumbed down by the vaccines and by the pharmaceuticals that are being given to them in order to control their behavior, in order to deal with prior vaccine injuries.

We are such an over medicated society and some thing's got to break. If you look at the fact that we have 69 different doses of vaccines that are given to children by the time they're 18 years old now, I think that's an underestimate, I think it more than 74 now. That's an underestimate. Then another 271 vaccines in the pipeline that individuals at pharmaceutical industries as well as the Department of Health and Human Services would love to put on the vaccination schedule and they see no problem in doing it. But yet we have chronic diseases over 50 percent of the children in the United States have some type of chronic condition. At least 1 in 6 children has some type of chronic neurological condition.

What are we doing to society? In our metrics, when we look at our competitiveness with the rest of the world in terms of math and sciences and English and Technology, were on a decline. We are so programmed to participate in this particular grand experiment. I feel like the movie Logan's Run. You don't fit in anymore and so you get ostracized. You get thrown away from society and anything that the pharmaceutical industrial complex can do to marginalized you, then they're going to take that opportunity.

Ty: And we've seen that happen over and over with you, with Andy Wakefield, with Judy Mikovits. Last night I interviewed Judy. She said this is like the Holocaust.

Dr. Hooker: It is. Judy went to jail. Judy went to jail for what she found scientifically. That is huge. I have endured my own type of persecution. I had that MMR paper that I published. As soon as the CDC complained to the journal, it was taken down off the journal's website and it was ultimately retracted. That's a big black mark on my career. I'm fighting to get the paper reinstated. That limits my ability as a professional to do good science. That's what they do to the good scientists in the field that are straight shooters that are honest brokers.

They create a scenario where they can't work again in science. They get threatened. In some of the things that they have thrown at me, it's almost like a cheesy Lifetime movie. Having some individual call on my cell phone who identifies herself as a CDC official and then I ask her name and she says, "Well, I'm not going to tell you my name because you're not telling me why you're submitting FOIA requests."

It's like this bizarre, "Who are you? Why are you harassing me? Why are you calling me out of the blue?" The thing that was so comical about it was my cell phone had captured their phone number. They didn't even think enough to block their ID. It captured their phone number and all I had to do was get on the internet and do a little reverse phone lookup. I knew exactly who called me. I just go to the Department of Health and Human Services phone directory. I knew exactly who called. I knew exactly the time of call and filed a formal complaint. Of course, the CDC denied that the phone call ever happened.

[End of transcript]